



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,011	11/01/2001	Roy K. Greenberg	PA-5270-RFB	3255
7590 06/17/2005			EXAMINER	
Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione			PHILOGENE, PEDRO	
P.O. Box 10395			ART UNIT	
Chicago, IL 60610			PAPER NUMBER	
			3732	

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SP

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/003,011	GREENBERG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Pedro Philogene	3732	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 April 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/14/05</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/14/05 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,2,4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eskuri (6,755,847) in view of Bates (6,096,053).

With respect to claim 1, Eskuri discloses a medical grasping device comprising: an elongate control member (134) having an atraumatic distal tip section (136) and a proximal end portion; the elongate control member further including a grasping portion (116) proximal the distal tip section; an outer sheath (140) with a passageway

therethrough, as best seen in FIG.2, surrounding the elongate control member and relatively movable with respect thereto.

Although Eskuri teaches of a control assembly it is noted that Eskuri did not teach of a control assembly disposed at a proximal end of the outer sheath and the proximal end portion of the elongate control member; and in operative relation thereto for urging the grasping portion from a distal end of the outer sheath and retraction thereinto; as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Bates evidences such a control assembly to urge a basket from an expanded to a retracted position.

Therefore, given the teaching of Bates, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the control assembly, as taught by Bates, in the device of Eskuri to urge the medical grasping device from a retracted to an expanded position.

With respect to claims 2, 4-6, the above combination of references teaches all the limitations, as set forth in column 6, lines 19-65, and as best seen in FIGS. 8A-8C of bates; and as set forth in column 2, lines 1-67, column 3, lines 1-50, and as best seen in FIGS.1-7 of Eskuri.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eskuri (6,755,847) in view of Bates (6,096,053) in view of Gunther et al. (5,330,484).

With respect to claim 3, it noted that the above combination of references did not teach of a hemostatic seal between the sheath and the elongate control member; as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Gunther et al evidence the use of a hemostatic seal to hold the legs of a grid body.

Therefore, given the teaching of Gunther et al., it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Eskuri/Bates, as taught by Gunther et al to provide a hemostatic seal between the sheath and the elongate control member to hold the legs of the grasping portion.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eskuri (6,755,847) in view of Bates (6,096,053) in view of Avellanet (6,264,664).

With respect to claim 7, it is noted that the above combination teaches all the limitations, except for a connecting block affixed to the control member disposed in a longitudinal slot; as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Avellanet evidences the use of a connecting block disposed in a slot to move the grasping device in and out of the sheath.

Therefore, given the teaching of Avellanet, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the connecting block and the slot in the device of Avellanet with the control assembly of the device of Eskuri/Bates to facilitate the in and out of the grasping device within the sheath.

Claims 8-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eskuri (6,755,847) in view of Bates (6,096,053) in view of Hillstead (5,098,440).

With respect to claims 8-21, it is noted that Bates teaches all the limitations, except for wire loops that are substantially circular upon full deployment, as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Hillstead evidences the use of wire loops that are

Art Unit: 3732

circular upon full deployment and having side sections that overlap and touch the vessel wall to engage the object to be retrieved with a greater force.

Therefore, given the teaching of Hillstead, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to incorporate the design of the grasping device of Hillstead in the grasping device of Eskuri/Bates to engage the object to be retrieved with a greater force.

With respect to claim 22, the above combination of references teaches all the limitations, as set forth above.

Conclusion

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (571) 272-4716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571)272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pedro Philogene
June 14, 2005


PEDRO PHILOGENE
PRIMARY EXAMINER